	Case 2:05-mc-00070-RSM Document 2 Filed 05/24/05 Page 1 of 2
01	
01 02	
03	
04	
05	
06	
07	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
08	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE
09	JOHN ROBERT DEMOS, JR., ) CASE NO. MC05-70-RSM
10	Plaintiff,
11	v. ) REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
12	DOUGLAS CARR, et al.,
13	Defendants.
14	
15	Plaintiff John Robert Demos has filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis and a
16	proposed civil rights complaint. This appears to be the third action filed by plaintiff during the
17	2005 calendar year.
18	Plaintiff is well-known locally and nationally as an abusive litigant. He is under pre-filing
19	bar orders in a number of courts, including this Court, the Eastern District of Washington, the
20	Washington State courts, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and the United States Supreme
21	Court. See, e.g., In re John Robert Demos, MS91-269 (W.D. Wash., January 16, 1992); Demos
22	v. Storrie, 507 U.S. 290, 291 (1993). The bar order entered by this court precludes plaintiff from
23	filing more than three applications to proceed in forma pauperis in any calendar year. In addition,
24	because of the numerous frivolous lawsuits filed by plaintiff in the past, he also faces the statutory
25	bar imposed by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). To overcome the statutory bar, plaintiff must allege that he
26	is in "imminent danger of serious physical injury." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).
	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION PAGE -1

The Court has reviewed plaintiff's proposed civil rights complaint and *in forma pauperis* application. Plaintiff contends that prison officials have retaliated against him for "reporting to the U.S. Secret Service a plot to kill the President." (Proposed Complaint at 3). Plaintiff alleges that he overheard prison guards saying that Bill Gates was planning to purchase a nuclear bomb from Russian agents and detonate the bomb near the White House. ( *Id.* at 4). Although their motivation is unclear, plaintiff further alleges that after he reported this plot to prison officials, they responded by assigning cell-mates to plaintiff who steal from him, threaten his life, leave the cell light on to bother his eyes, and do not bathe. (*Id.* at 3).

Plaintiff's fanciful allegations do not show with the requisite degree of specificity that he is in imminent danger of serious physical injury. Accordingly, plaintiff's most recent application to proceed *in forma pauperis* should be denied, and this action dismissed. In addition, plaintiff should be advised that, because this is his third application to proceed *in forma pauperis* in this calendar year, any future applications "will not be filed, acknowledged, or returned." *In re John Robert Demos*, MS91-269 (W.D. Wash., January 16, 1992). A proposed Order accompanies this recommendation.

DATED this 24th day of May, 2005.

Mary Alice Theiler

United States Magistrate Judge